

Recommendation Report

Authority	
Authority Name:	North West London Integrated Care Board (ICB)
Authority Address:	15 Marylebone Rd
	London
	NW1 5JD

Author	
Author Name:	
Author Title:	
Date:	

Project Definition	
Project	С
Reference:	
Project Name:	
Project	NHS North West London Integrated Care Board ("NHS NWL") (the
Description:	"Authority") requires the provision of.
	Please see appendix A – Business case for full details.

Procurement Summary

ADD Detail IE Market engagement, process undertaken

Current Status of Contracts

Project Approach	
Opportunity for aggregated	
competition/ collaboration:	
Reasons for not conducting	
aggregated competition/	
collaborating (if applicable):	
Applicable Category Tower:	
Procurement Procedure/ Route:	The procurement process sits under the Light
	Touch Regime under the PCR, and a process akin
	to competitive dialogue was undertaken.
Justification for the choice of	
procedure – Competitive Dialogue:	
e-Tendering Portal Reference:	С
Contract Notice Reference:	
This Contract is divided into lots:	
Reasons for not dividing Contract into	
lots (if applicable):	

Project Organisation Structure	
Procurement Lead and Title:	
Programme Manager and Title:	



Senior Responsible Officer and Title:

Project Scope	
Funding Stream/ Budget Code:	
Business Case Approved:	
New Projects Form Completed and	
Approved:	
Estimated Length of Contract:	
Terms and Conditions of Contract:	

Tender Plan	
Standard Selection Questionnaire (if	
multi stage process)	
Date Contract Notice and SQ Released:	
Deadline for Clarification Questions:	
Date EOI and SQ Returned:	
Bidders that returned a SQ responses:	

Any bidder conflicts of interest
detected, and subsequent measures
taken:

Bidders' de-selected and reasons why:	
Bidders shortlisted and invited to Dialogue sessions and submit Final bids:	

Dialogue Meetings	
Date Request to participate in dialogue	
letters sent:	
Dialogue meetings date:	

Invitation to Tender



Date ITT Released:	
Deadline for Clarification Questions:	
Date ITT Returned:	
Bidders that have withdrawn from tender:	
Bidders that have returned tender response:	
Bidders' de-selected and reasons why:	
Reasons for rejection of any abnormally low tenders:	

Evaluation Team	
Quality:	
Finance:	
Any conflicts of interest	All Evaluators completed a Conflict-of-interest form. There were
detected, and	no Conflicts declared.
subsequent measures	
taken:	

Evaluation Criteria	
Most Economically Advantageous Tender in line with the weighting of below.	criteria summarised
Evaluation Criteria Sections	Weighting %
Quality (including Social Value)	<mark>80.00%</mark>
Pricing	<mark>20.00%</mark>
Total Weighted	100.00%

Evaluation S	cores Summarised – Lot 1			
Ranking	Bidder	Total Qualitative Weighted Score (%)	Total Financial Weighted Score (%)	Final Score



ease See A	ttached Appendix C – Evaluatic	on Summary for All	scores and weigh	tings
ricing				

Costs relating to NWL ICB		·

GDPR and Patient Identifiable Data (PID)

GDPR will be covered off by the terms and conditions of the contract.

Statement Validated by:

N/A

TUPE

TUPE implications apply as identified by the incumbent provider during the tender process. A full set of impacted staff and related costs have been shared anonymously as part of the tender process for each lot. The commercial submissions by each of the successful bidders fully considers the TUPE information shared and have confirmed that the TUPE costs are accounted for within the financial envelope.

Implementation Plan

The contract commences on XXXXXXXX. Mobilisation and transition of services from existing suppliers will be carried out between XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX. There will be no activity transferred for this contract award.

The service commencement date is XXXXXXXXXXX.

Economic and Financial Standing



Reporting	
Winning bidder identity and reasons, and if known any subcontracting levels and main subcontractor identities:	
Reasons for any decision not to make an award:	
Reasons why any non-electronic communication has been used for a tender submission:	

Recommendation

The recommendation is to award the contract to:

Authorised by:

•

Name (print):	Charlotte Michael
Designation:	Category Director, Community Mental Health, and Professional Services, North West London Procurement Services
Signature:	
Date:	

Name (print):	Lee Jackson
Designation:	Managing Director, North West London Procurement Services
Signature:	
Date:	

Name (print):	
Designation:	Head of Finance,
Signature:	



Date:	

Name (print):	
Designation:	
Signature:	
Date:	

Name (print):	
Designation:	
Signature:	
Date:	

Name (print):	
Designation:	
Signature:	
Date:	

Name (print):	
Designation:	
Signature:	
Date:	

